8/3/76

Mr. Emory Brown
Rt. 4, Box 482
Squankum Rd.
Farmingdale, N.J. 07727

Dear Emory,

I've lost track so I do not recall if I've written you since the appellate decision in CA 75-~~1324~~ 226. As a remand decision is is a sweeping one, a major success. What the feds will do now is still not signalled.

We have to proceed on the assumption they will be good boys, an entirely unjustified assumption.

We also hope that they will have seen the light of day, the merit of the straight and narrow, that virtue is its own reward, and even extreme as it may seem, that they will come to realize that only he who has clean hands and a pure heart may enter into the Temple of the Lord (not aka Hooverville.).

That the decision has received no attention is not a disappointment in any personal sense. We prefer it this way until we get farthur ahead. We have done nothing to attract attention to it. Our central purpose is not instant publicity.

Jim is leaving in eight days for a trip that will keep him away for several weeks. He has asked me to provie him with a xerox of each WC page on which Frazier testified about any scientific tests. It is presently ~~[illegible]~~ beyond me, I am already that overloaded with court work alone.

He will be working while flying, so if you can do this it could be a great favor.

If you can think of anything else relevant to these scientific tests please include that, later if it will delay sending the Frazier, which he asked for. However, it has occurred to me that there may be other scientific testimony that in some ways can relate, like that of Cunningham, perhaps. If it would have been indicated to do similar testing on the paper or anything else with which the rifle allegedly was in contact. (I know, of course, that he said there were no oil stains on the paper.)

His address, if you can do this, is 1231 4 St., SW, Wash.,D.C. 20024.

We have three other active cases. We believe we are doing well in all three. Except in the time they require because of the extraordinary stonewalling, the most persisting yet. These are three gut-issue cases. They do not have many alternatives.

Whether or not you can do this, thanks.

Jim has found some of the other materials you have sent quite valuable. It was not until today that he could get around to xeroxing those of which you sent only one copy. I gave all of them to him.

If I have not written you this may not make sense without the kicker: the appeals court that voted 9-1 against us in the original suit we have completely turned around, more than the 300 vote for us can indicate. They did not tell me what I may do but what I must. This includes depositing all those with first-person knowledge!And they found that not only is this in my interest but that of "the nation." Ever dream of it? There is more, but this indicates. When we left oral arguments Jim felt we had "turned the corner." This appears to be a modest representation. We are currently ahead in all three cases. But does ti take work!

PS I'm saving a stamp by reusing an envelope I had to ~~[illegible]~~ open.

Best regards,